SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740

27

28

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650 333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ι, Ι	Dan	Fingerman,	C	lec	lare
------	-----	------------	---	-----	------

- I am an attorney with the law firm of Mount & Stoelker, P.C., counsel for the 1. Defendants in this action, Romi Mayder, Wesley Mayder, Silicon Test Systems Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions LLC (collectively, "Defendants"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called upon to testify in this Court as to those facts, my testimony would be as stated herein.
- 2. This declaration is intended to comply with the requirements of General Order 45 VI.E., describing efforts to electronically file a document.
- 3. On October 22, 2007, I attempted several times to e-file the defendants' letter brief in support of the defendants' [Proposed] Order Regarding Verigy US, Inc.'s Motion to Compel and Setting Forth Protocol for Examination of Disk Drive. Eventually, I was able to file this document at approximately 5pm, as Docket No. 78.
- 4. Magistrate Judge Lloyd had ordered the parties to file letter briefs by noon on October 22, 2007. Therefore, I tried several times to reach the ECF web site on the morning of this day.
- At approximately 12:50pm, I sent via messenger a paper chambers copy of the letter brief and its attachments to Magistrate Judge Lloyd's courtroom. Exhibit A hereto is a copy of the cover letter that accompanied the chambers copy.
- 6. Also at 12:50pm, I sent via electronic mail a copy of the defendants' letter brief and its attachments to Verigy's counsel — to avoid any prejudice to Verigy. Exhibit B hereto is a copy of that email to Verigy's counsel. I received a return email from Verigy's counsel at approximately 1:05pm, indicating that she had received and read the defendants' letter brief. Verigy's counsel also returned the professional courtesy of providing a copy of Verigy's letter brief by electronic mail.
- 7. Each time I attempted to reach the ECF web site, my web browser received no response from the server. I also attempted several times the reach the Northern District of California's home page as well as the home pages of other District Courts and other web sites that are subdomains of the uscourts.gov domain. Before 5pm, I was not able to reach any of these web sites.
- 8. Two other people in my office also attempted to reach the ECF web site during this time to e-file the document. Both of them also received no response from the web server. In all, we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

attempted to reach the ECF web site from three different computers, usi	ing at least four different web
browsers from within our office.	

- 9. At 11:50am, I placed a phone call to the ECF help desk to request assistance. Nobody answered that call, so I left a voicemail message in which I explained the problem and requested a return call. I received a return call from a member of the ECF staff, named Will, at approximately 1:20pm. Will was unable to resolve the problem.
- 10. In addition to my attempts to e-file the document during the morning, I also attempted to e-file the document at 12:00pm, at 12:45pm, 1:20pm, 1:40pm, 2:25pm, 3:25pm, and 4:20pm. I was finally able to reach the ECF web site at approximately 5pm, and I emailed the document at that time.
- 11. After my attempt to e-file the document at 4:20pm, I called the ECF helpdesk again. I received a recorded message stating that the helpdesk's hours end at 4pm, so I left another voicemail message in which I explained the problem and requested a return call.
- 12. My attempts to e-file the document at 4:20pm and 5pm were made from a computer network outside my office (and using a different computer), since it was conceivably possible that a problem in my office's computer network might somehow be responsible for my inability to access the court's web site. Still, I could not access the court's web site, even from a different computer network, until approximately 5pm.
- 13. At all times prior to 5pm, I was similarly unable to reach any web site that is a subdomain of uscourts.gov — including the Northern District of California's main web site.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on the date below at San Jose, California.

Dated: October 22, 2007

Daniel H. Fingerman